

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,892	07/15/2003	Jessica Elizabeth LeMay	460.2221USQ	7326	
	7590 05/16/200 . RUGGIERO, ESQ.	7	EXAM	INER	
OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, L.L.P.			ANDERSON, CATHARINE L		
10th FLOOR ONE LANDM	th FLOOR JE LANDMARK SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
STAMFORD,			3761	3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Are				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/619,892	LEMAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	C. Lynne Anderson	3761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	9S <b>S</b>			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiliure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ebruary 2007.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		erits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		<b>-</b>				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) ☐ Notice of Informal F	ate				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

## DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 27 February 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's argument that Linares fails to disclose a flared region, it is noted that the present claims and specification do not require the flared region to gradually increase in diameter. Since no clear definition of the term "flared" is given in the present specification, the term is considered under the broadest reasonable interpretation. Therefore, the portion of the applicator of Linares having a wider diameter than the adjacent portion is considered to be flared, since it extends outwardly from the narrower portion.

In response to the applicant's argument that Linares fails to disclose a tapered main section, it is noted that while the present specification may describe the claimed invention as having certain dimensions to the tapered main section and the insertion tip, this does not constitute a clear definition of the scope of the terms "tapered main section" and "insertion tip." Therefore, the main section of the applicator of Linares may be defined to include the tapered portion adjacent the tip, and therefore fulfills the claimed limitations.

In response to the applicant's argument that the proportions of features in a drawing are not evidence of actual proportions when drawings are not to scale, it is noted that MPEP 2125 goes on to state that the article pictured can be relied on for what they would reasonably teach one of ordinary skill in the art. The present claims

Art Unit: 3761

disclose a range of relative dimensions, not actual proportions (i.e. exact sizes), of the article. The drawings of Linares reasonably teach the relative dimensions of the applicator shown.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Linares et al. (6,264,626).

With respect to claims 1-4, 25, 34, and 41, Linares discloses a tampon applicator assembly 1, as shown in figure 1, comprising a barrel 10, a plunger 70, and a pledget. The barrel 10 comprises a first region 61, a second region 60, and a gripping region 62 therebetween. The first and flared regions 60 and 61 each have an outer dimension about 15-25% larger than the gripping region 62, as described in column 3, lines 56-68.

With respect to claims 5, 11-13, 23-24, 32, and 38-39, the barrel 10 is at its maximum dimension about 55% to 85% of the length from the insertion tip 41, as shown in figure 1.

With respect to claims 6, 8, 14-15, 17-18, 21-22, 29-30, 33, 40, and 42-43, the main section of the barrel has a taper at the insertion end of between 1.07 and 1.15, as

Art Unit: 3761

shown in figure 1.

With respect to claims 7, 9, 10, 16, 19, 20, 26-28, 31, and 35-37, the insertion tip 41 comprises a plurality of petals 40, the petals 40 having a length-to-width ratio of at least 2, as measured from figure 1.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Lynne Anderson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,892

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

**்**W<del>}</del> cla May 11, 2007

TATYANA ZALUKAEVA SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5